

Estonia

Article 50(1)(a) – Courts competent to issue the European Account Preservation Order

County courts

Click on the below link to view all competent authorities related to this Article.

Country: Estonia

Instrument: European Account Preservation Order

Competence type: Courts with jurisdiction in case of authentic instrument

More than one court/authority has been found which is competent for this legal instrument based on the information you provided. Below is the list:

Harju Maakohtu Tallinna kohtumaja**Pämu Maakohtu Haapsalu kohtumaja****Pämu Maakohtu Kuressaare kohtumaja****Pämu Maakohtu Paide kohtumaja****Pämu Maakohtu Pärnu kohtumaja****Pämu Maakohtu Rapla kohtumaja****Tartu Maakohtu Jõgeva kohtumaja****Tartu Maakohtu Tartu kohtumaja****Tartu Maakohtu Valga kohtumaja****Tartu Maakohtu Viljandi kohtumaja****Tartu Maakohtu Võru kohtumaja****Tartu Maakohtu Võru kohtumaja****Viru Maakohtu Jõhvi kohtumaja****Viru Maakohtu Narva kohtumaja****Viru Maakohtu Rakvere kohtumaja****Article 50(1)(b) – Authority designated as competent to obtain account information**

Chamber of Bailiffs and Trustees in Bankruptcy

Tartu mnt 16, 10117 Tallinn

Telephone: +372 64 63 773

E-mail: info@kpkoda.ee**Article 50(1)(c) – Methods of obtaining account information**

Article 14(5)(a) - an obligation on all banks in its territory to disclose, upon request by the information authority, whether the debtor holds an account with them.

Article 50(1)(d) – Courts with which an appeal against refusal to issue the European Account Preservation Order may be lodged

Appeals to district courts are filed through the county court whose ruling is contested in the appeal.

Click on the below link to view all competent authorities related to this Article.

Country: Estonia

Instrument: European Account Preservation Order

Competence type: Courts for appeal against refusal to issue the EAPO

More than one court/authority has been found which is competent for this legal instrument based on the information you provided. Below is the list:

Tallinna Ringkonnakohus**Tartu Ringkonnakohus****Article 50(1)(e) – Authorities designated as competent to receive, transmit and serve the European Account Preservation Order and other documents**

Article 10(2) - the county court that issued the European Account Preservation Order

Article 10(2), third subparagraph - bailiff

Article 23(3) - bailiff

Article 23(5) - bailiff

Article 23(6) - bailiff

Article 25(3) - bailiff

Article 27(2) - bailiff

Article 28(3) - bailiff

Article 36(5), second subparagraph - bailiff

Click on the below link to view all competent authorities related to this Article.

Country: Estonia

Instrument: European Account Preservation Order

Competence type: Authorities competent to receive, transmit and serve the EAPO

More than one court/authority has been found which is competent for this legal instrument based on the information you provided. Below is the list:

Harju Maakohtu Tallinna kohtumaja**Kohtutäiturid**

Pämu Maakohtu Haapsalu kohtumaja
Pämu Maakohtu Kuressaare kohtumaja
Pämu Maakohtu Paide kohtumaja
Pämu Maakohtu Pärnu kohtumaja
Pämu Maakohtu Rapla kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Jõgeva kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Tartu kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Valga kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Viljandi kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Võru kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Võru kohtumaja
Viru Maakohtu Jõhvi kohtumaja
Viru Maakohtu Narva kohtumaja
Viru Maakohtu Rakvere kohtumaja

Article 50(1)(f) – Authority competent to enforce the European Account Preservation Order

Bailiffs

Click on the below link to view all competent authorities related to this Article.

Country: Estonia

Instrument: European Account Preservation Order

Competence type: Authorities competent to enforce the EAPO

Kohtutäiturid

<http://kpkoda.ee/content/avaliku-poolle-lingid/kontaktinfo-0>

Article 50(1)(g) – Extent to which joint and nominee accounts can be preserved

Making a claim for payment on an account that is the joint property of spouses is permitted with the consent of the spouse who is not a debtor if an enforcement instrument which requires both spouses to perform the obligation exists.

Under Section 626(3) of the Law of Obligations Act, 'claims and movables which a mandatary acquires when performing a mandate in the mandatary's name but on account of the mandator, and claims and movables which the mandator transfers to the mandatary for performance of the mandate are not included in the bankruptcy estate of the mandatary and they cannot be subject to a claim against the mandatary in an enforcement procedure'.

Article 50(1)(h) – Rules applicable to amounts exempt from seizure

A claim for payment cannot be made on the following income:

- 1) state family benefits;
- 2) social benefits for disabled persons;
- 3) social benefits within the meaning of the Social Welfare Act;
- 4) compensation paid on the basis of Section 351(3) of the Artificial Insemination and Embryo Protection Act;
- 5) unemployment allowances, grants, transport and accommodation benefits and business start-up subsidies paid through the Estonian Unemployment Insurance Fund;
- 6) compensation paid for causing bodily harm or a health disorder, except compensation for lost income and compensation for non-patrimonial damage;
- 61) work ability allowance;
- 7) statutory maintenance allowance;
- 8) parental benefits;
- 9) health insurance benefits within the meaning of the Health Insurance Act, except benefits for temporary incapacity for work;
- 10) state pensions to the extent provided by law;
- 11) support on release from prison.

Income is not seized if it does not exceed the minimum monthly wage or the corresponding proportion of income for a week or day. As of 1 January 2019, the minimum monthly wage when working full-time was EUR 540.

If making a claim for payment on other assets of a debtor has not led to or is not expected to lead to complete satisfaction of a claim for maintenance for a child, up to half of the income specified in subsection 1 of this section may be seized. If the amount seized from the debtor's income to fulfil a claim for maintenance for a child is less than one half of the amount specified in subsection 1 of this section, up to one-third of the debtor's income may be seized. If, pursuant to law, a debtor supports another person or pays maintenance to that person, the amount not subject to seizure increases by one-third of the minimum monthly wage per dependant unless a claim for maintenance for a child is subject to compulsory execution.

Up to two-thirds of an amount equivalent to five times the minimum wage may be seized, and all the income which exceeds an amount equivalent to five times the minimum wage may be seized out of the proportion of income exceeding the amount not subject to seizure, provided that the amount subject to seizure does not exceed two-thirds of the total income. This provision does not apply if a claim for support is subject to compulsory execution.

On the basis of an application submitted by the debtor, a bailiff will annul the seizure of an account within three working days to the extent which guarantees for the debtor the income not subject to seizure.

If more than once month's income is transferred to a debtor's account, the bailiff will, on the basis of an application submitted by the debtor, annul the seizure of the account within three working days to the extent which guarantees for the debtor the income not subject to seizure for each month paid in advance. If the period for use of the income transferred to the debtor's account cannot be determined, the bailiff will transfer to the debtor the non-seizable income for one month.

Article 50(1)(i) – Fees, if charged by the banks, for the implementation of equivalent national orders or for providing account information, and information on the party liable to pay those fees

None

Article 50(1)(j) – The scale of fees or other set of rules setting out the applicable fees charged by any authority or other body involved in the processing or enforcement of the Preservation Order

Under Section 781(4) of the [Bailiffs Act](#), a person filing a request to obtain account information under Article 14 pays EUR 20.

Under Section 38(6) of the Bailiffs Act, the basic fee payable to a bailiff for the execution of a Preservation Order is EUR 92.

Article 50(1)(k) – Ranking, if any, of equivalent national orders

A right of security on seized assets that arose during an earlier seizure takes precedence over a right created during a later seizure.

A right of security on seized assets that arose on the basis of a claim for child maintenance takes precedence over other rights of security on seized assets regardless of the time of seizure. Rights of security on seized assets that arose on the basis of a claim for child maintenance are of equal ranking.

Article 50(1)(l) – Courts or enforcement authority competent to grant a remedy

Article 33(1) - county courts

Article 34(1)(a) – the bailiff that initiated enforcement proceedings and seized the account on the basis of a European Account Preservation Order. A list of bailiffs can be found on the website of the Chamber of Bailiffs and Trustees in Bankruptcy: <http://www.kpkoda.ee/content/avaliku-poolle-lingid/kontaktinfo-0>.

Article 34(1)(b)

i) bailiff

ii) bailiff

iii) bailiff

iv) bailiff

Article 34(2) - county courts

Click on the below link to view all competent authorities related to this Article.

Country: Estonia

Instrument: European Account Preservation Order

Competence type: Courts or enforcement authority for granting a remedy

More than one court/authority has been found which is competent for this legal instrument based on the information you provided. Below is the list:

Harju Maakohtu Tallinna kohtumaja

Kohtutäiturid

Pämu Maakohtu Haapsalu kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Kuressaare kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Paide kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Pärnu kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Rapla kohtumaja

Tartu Maakohtu Jõgeva kohtumaja

Tartu Maakohtu Tartu kohtumaja

Tartu Maakohtu Valga kohtumaja

Tartu Maakohtu Viljandi kohtumaja

Tartu Maakohtu Võru kohtumaja

Tartu Maakohtu Võru kohtumaja

Viru Maakohtu Jõhvi kohtumaja

Viru Maakohtu Narva kohtumaja

Viru Maakohtu Rakvere kohtumaja

Article 50(1)(m) – Courts with which an appeal is to be lodged and the time-limit, if any, for lodging the appeal

Article 33

Appeals to district courts are filed through the county court whose ruling is contested in the appeal within 15 days from the date on which the ruling was served.

Article 34

- If the ruling was made by a county court, appeals to district courts are filed through the county court whose ruling is contested in the appeal. Appeals are to be submitted within 15 days from the date on which the ruling was served.

- A participant in enforcement proceedings may file a complaint with a bailiff regarding a decision made by or the action taken by the bailiff when executing an enforcement instrument or refusing to perform an enforcement action, within ten days as of the day on which the complainant became or should have become aware of the decision or action, unless otherwise provided by law. A participant in proceedings may file an appeal against a decision made by a bailiff regarding a complaint with the county court of the jurisdiction in which the bailiff's office is located within ten days from the date on which the decision was served. An appeal against a decision made or action taken by a bailiff cannot be filed with a court without first filing a complaint with the bailiff.

Participants in proceedings and bailiffs may file an appeal against a county court ruling made regarding a decision made by a bailiff. Appeals are to be submitted within 15 days from the date on which the ruling was served.

Article 35

- If the ruling was made by a county court, appeals to district courts are filed through the county court whose ruling is contested in the appeal. Appeals are to be submitted within 15 days from the date on which the ruling was served (Article 35(1)).

- A participant in enforcement proceedings may file a complaint with a bailiff regarding a decision made by or the action taken by the bailiff when executing an enforcement instrument or refusing to perform an enforcement action, within ten days as of the day on which the complainant became or should have become aware of the decision or action, unless otherwise provided by law. A participant in proceedings may file an appeal against a decision made by a bailiff regarding a complaint with the county court of the jurisdiction in which the bailiff's office is located within ten days from the date on which the decision was served. An appeal against a decision made or action taken by a bailiff cannot be filed with a court without first filing a complaint with the bailiff (Article 35 (3)-(4)). Participants in proceedings and bailiffs may file an appeal against a county court ruling made regarding a decision made by a bailiff. Appeals are to be submitted within 15 days from the date on which the ruling was served.

Click on the below link to view all competent authorities related to this Article.

Country: Estonia

Instrument: European Account Preservation Order

Competence type: Courts for appeal against a decision on the remedy

More than one court/authority has been found which is competent for this legal instrument based on the information you provided. Below is the list:

Harju Maakohtu Tallinna kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Haapsalu kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Kuressaare kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Paide kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Pärnu kohtumaja

Pämu Maakohtu Rapla kohtumaja
Tallinna Ringkonnakohus
Tartu Maakohtu Jõgeva kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Tartu kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Valga kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Viljandi kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Võru kohtumaja
Tartu Maakohtu Võru kohtumaja
Tartu Ringkonnakohus
Viru Maakohtu Jõhvi kohtumaja
Viru Maakohtu Narva kohtumaja
Viru Maakohtu Rakvere kohtumaja

Article 50(1)(n) – Court fees

The state fee for either receiving or contesting a ruling is EUR 50, and this is to be paid when submitting the application.

Article 50(1)(o) – Languages accepted for translations of the documents

Estonian, English.

Last update: 28/10/2019

The national language version of this page is maintained by the respective Member State. The translations have been done by the European Commission service. Possible changes introduced in the original by the competent national authority may not be yet reflected in the translations. The European Commission accepts no responsibility or liability whatsoever with regard to any information or data contained or referred to in this document. Please refer to the legal notice to see copyright rules for the Member State responsible for this page.